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## PREFACE

This year marks the $20^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the AABB parentage laboratory accreditation program. At a joint conference of the AMA/ABA in 1977, the need for accreditation was recognized. AABB assumed the role of accreditation organization of parentage laboratories as the same testing technology used in the blood bank was also used in parentage testing. Over the past twenty years, paternity tests have moved towards different technologies. With AABB's expanded mission into the area of cellular therapies, the testing used to evaluate the success of some cellular therapies is the same as that used in parentage testing, renewing the alliance of technologies. This year's annual report for 2003 continues the past precedent of providing basic summary statistics for testing that took place in the previous year.

AABB sent surveys to 60 organizations that indicated they performed parentage testing and 44 ( $73 \%$ ) laboratories returned the surveys. Although these surveys were mostly from accredited laboratories in the United States, several of the laboratories were from Canada and Europe. Many of the laboratories reported testing a broad range of cases, including relationship tests for routine parentage testing, immigration, prenatal evaluations, and post-mortem evaluations.

In this report, AABB provides some commentary regarding misconceptions relating to the significance of the survey results. Some of the commentary from last year is included in this year's report, as the commentary remains relevant to issues raised this year.

The Parentage Testing Standards Program Unit would also like to remind readers that shortly after publication of each edition of Standards for Parentage Testing Laboratories, the AABB publishes a guidance document that discusses the Standards in some detail. The Guidance for Standards for Parentage Testing Laboratories provides suggestions on how to comply with the standards and contains explanations of the standards; various calculations used, and addresses other issues in parentage testing.

## ANNUAL VOLUME OF TESTING

The volume reported for cases tested in 2003 was 354,011 , a $3.9 \%$ increase over the 2002 reported volume and an approximately $700 \%$ increase since 1984 . Based on these case numbers, approximately 991,000 persons were tested in 2003. A summary of the totals of all years since 1988 is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Table 1. The Number of Parentage Cases Reported for 1988-2003.

| Year | No. of Cases | Year | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 77000 | 1996 | 172316 |
| 1989 | 85231 | 1997 | 237981 |
| 1990 | 120436 | 1998 | 247317 |
| 1991 | 143459 | 1999 | 280510 |
| 1992 | 161000 | 2000 | 300626 |
| 1993 | 189904 | 2001 | 310490 |
| 1994 | 193000 | 2002 | 340798 |
| 1995 | 149100 | 2003 | 354011 |

Figure 1. Graph of the Case Volume for 1988-2003.
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The totals include data from parentage laboratories worldwide. A total of 44 laboratories responded to the survey, six more than last year.

## LABORATORIES BY SIZE

Table 2 indicates the size of the various responding laboratories by volume of cases reported. Note that this breakdown is by each laboratory, but a single entity may own several laboratories. The size distribution remains about the same as the distribution seen in the last several years.

Table 2. Laboratories by the Volume of Cases Reported.

| Case Volumes | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1-500$ | 40 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 18 |
| $501-1,000$ | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| $1,001-5,000$ | 7 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 11 |
| $5,001-10,000$ | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| $10,001-50,000$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| $50,001-100,000$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $>100,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Laboratories | 62 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 43 | 44 | 42 | 46 | 38 | $43^{*}$ |

*one of the 44 participants did not respond to this request

## EXCLUSION RATE

For the laboratories tracking exclusions, there were 353,387 cases completed and $99,174(28.06 \%)$ were reported as exclusions. One of the 44 responding laboratories did not track the number of exclusions. The average exclusion rate for the laboratories reporting exclusions is $27.40 \%$ with a standard deviation of 6.01 . The median exclusion rate is $27.98 \%$ with a range of $11.94 \%$ to $41.18 \%$ (two laboratories reported completing three cases, with two exclusion cases (66.67\%) but because of the small sample size, they were not included in these statistics). The explanation for the range of exclusion rates is complex but appears to be related to the laboratory's client base. Anecdotal explanations for the various exclusion rates include differences with the type of case (private verses public contracts) and the geographic source of the case (rural versus metropolitan areas).

## MISCONCEPTIONS IN PARENTAGE TESTING

It is important to understand the significance of the exclusion rate, especially since the statistic has been misinterpreted in the past. For example, several organizations have used the exclusion rate to suggest improperly that $30 \%$ of men are misled into believing they are biological fathers of children. This suggestion is incorrect. The exclusion rate includes a number of factors. One is that the men are alleged to be fathers. This is important as a woman may allege several men as possible fathers
because she was sexually active with these individuals. These are not men who were misled into believing they were fathers and then later discovered they are not. The testing merely sorts out which man is the biological father so presumably that man can assume his parental role. Another factor is that sometimes men are accused and tested because a man who is not excluded is alleging that the mother had multiple sexual partners as part of his defense. Sometimes a man is required to be tested because of a legal presumption, that is, when the mother properly names the correct father but because she is (was) married to someone else, there is a legal presumption that the husband is the father. The husband is then tested to rebut the legal presumption, not because he was misled into believing he is the biological father of the child.

## COMBINED PATERNITY INDEX

The laboratories surveyed were asked to indicate what combined paternity index (CPI) they considered acceptable for cases with a standard trio (mother, child, father), mother (or father) not tested cases, and reconstruction cases (cases where the disputed parent is missing and other relatives are used to evaluate parentage). Some laboratories reported using different CPIs for different classes of clients (private verses public contracts, or for different technologies). For these laboratories the higher CPI was used for this report.

The results for the laboratories that responded are shown in Table 3. The most common minimum CPI for a standard trio is 100 with 26 out of 44 (59\%) laboratories using this value, with a range of 100 to 10,000 . For mother not tested cases the most common minimum CPI is 100 with 30 of 44 ( $68 \%$ ) laboratories using this value, with a range of 100 to 10,000 . A couple of laboratories indicated that for these cases they used "whatever was obtained." One laboratory qualified this by saying that the CPI was whatever was obtained after evaluating 18 loci. For the family study or reconstruction cases, the majority of laboratories (66\%) indicated that they report, "Whatever was obtained."

A common issue is the significance of the paternity index and the reliability of the AABB standard requiring a CPI of 100 to 1 . First and foremost, this level was chosen because it provides reasonable evidence of paternity in a standard case where a trio is tested. Generally, when a laboratory tests a case, if the disputed person is not excluded and does not reach the laboratory's minimum value, additional testing is performed. This additional testing may result in nonexclusion, exclusion, or inconclusive findings.

Another significant issue arises with regard to performing other relationship analyses such as reconstruction cases, trios with genetic anomalies, and samples from exhumations, coroners, and postmortem testing. It is important to note that a CPI of less than 100 is not an indicator of non-paternity, unless 0 (or much less than 1), and may still in fact be a strong indicator of paternity. Practical difficulties exist with the ability to obtain results from degraded samples, as happens in postmortem testing, and in the mathematical analysis of the relationships in reconstruction cases. This concept is particularly important for legislators who establish presumption levels based on paternity calculations, and contract administrators, who need to differentiate between reasonable science and what might be achieved under ideal conditions. Also remember that a laboratory's minimum combined paternity index, which may reflect scientific reality, is not necessarily the laboratory's testing goal or median combined paternity index.

Table 3. The Number of Laboratories Using Various Combined Paternity Indices for Standard Trios, Mother (Father) Not Tested (MNT) and Reconstruction Cases (Note: not all laboratories indicated a CPI for each type of case).

Minimum Acceptable CPI in Your Laboratory Under the Following Conditions

| CPI | Type of Case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trio | No Mother | Family Study <br> (Reconstruction) |
| Whatever is <br> obtained | 2 | 2 | 23 |
| 100 | 26 | 30 | 9 |
| 101 |  |  | 1 |
| 150 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 200 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 400 | 1 |  |  |
| 500 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 1000 | 6 | 4 |  |
| 1001 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 10000 | 2 | 1 |  |

## TECHNOLOGY USE

The survey showed a continued trend toward the increased use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology with a decrease in the use of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) methods. PCR short tandem repeat (STR) technology was used in $93.26 \%$ of reported cases, while RFLP analysis was used in $2.48 \%$ of reported cases.

For the first time SNP technology was reported in paternity evaluation. SNP was used in $3.99 \%$ of reported cases, exceeding the use of RFLP methods. All other technologies were used in about $0.27 \%$ of reported cases. Table 4 provides a breakdown of the technology used to resolve the reported paternity cases. The laboratories using HLA molecular methods were asked to identify the source of the frequencies. Laboratories using HLA molecular for Class I HLA methods reported using serologic tables for calculating paternity indices.

Table 4. The Technology Used in Cases Reported in 2003

| Technology | Number of Cases | Utilization (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red Cell Antigens | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 8 5}$ |
| HLA Serology | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 8 5}$ |
| HLA Class 1 Molecular | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 6 8 9}$ |
| HLA Class II Molecular | 777 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 9 9 5}$ |
| Red Cell Enzymes/Serum | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Proteins |  |  |
| Allotyping | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| RFLP | $\mathbf{8 7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 7 5}$ |
| STR | $\mathbf{3 2 9 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 2 6 3}$ |
| SNP | $\mathbf{1 4 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 9 4}$ |
| Y Chromosome | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 8}$ |
| Total of All Technologies | $\mathbf{3 5 3 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

*Note that some cases used more than one technology. Not all laboratories responded to this question.

Figure 2 shows the use of various technologies since 1990. As indicated above, the most commonly used technologies in 1990 (red cell antigens, HLA, and red cell enzymes and serum proteins) now account for less than $1 \%$ of all casework. The change in DNA technologies from RFLP to PCR technology is also obvious. Note the appearance of SNP technology for the first time. Prior to 1995 the use of PCR was not tracked in the Annual Reports, although the technology was in use. Note that in some cases multiple technologies were used in the same case.

Figure 2. The Use of Various Technologies Since 1990.


## SAMPLE SOURCE

Laboratories reported approximately 889,926 samples used for the casework in 2003. Of these, buccal swabs account for 814,942 (91.57\%). Whole blood samples accounted for 29,182 (3.28) samples, a reduction of about $61 \%$ over the use of whole blood in 2002. The use of blood spot cards increased from 3,461 samples to $44,543(5.01 \%)$ samples, an increase over 2002 numbers. In 2002 blood spot cards only accounted for $0.38 \%$ of samples. The reason for this change appears to be that one laboratory changed from whole blood to blood spots as their sample of choice. Lastly, various tissues accounted for 1,259 ( $0.14 \%$ ) of the samples submitted. About half of these tissues were amniotic fluid samples (42.65\%) and Chorionic Villus Samples (CVS) (6.83\%). Hair, paraffin blocks, bones, and other undefined tissues were also evaluated.

## PROBABILITY OF EXCLUSION

So few laboratories use RFLP technology that probability of exclusion data and mutation/null allele data were not tracked. With the small number of laboratories using RFLP, statistically significant numbers could not be achieved. For those seeking information on RFLP, please review previous annual reports (available at www.AABB.org) or the appendices of Guidance for Standards for Parentage Testing Laboratories published by AABB.

Appendix 1 shows the average probability of exclusion for the various PCR loci reported. For the CODIS loci a sufficient sample size is available to make statistical analysis of the laboratory's probabilities of exclusion. This was done without regard to the database used. Table 5 shows the statistical analysis of the probabilities of exclusion provided for the CODIS loci. The range of probabilities of exclusion seen is partly caused by using different frequency tables (population sampling differences) or by varying methods of determining the probability of exclusion. This same observation was made in previous years.

Table 5. The Mean Probability of Exclusion, Standard Deviation, Mode, Median, Range and Number of Laboratories Reporting Results for the CODIS Loci in 2003.

| Locus | Mean | StDev | Mode | Median | Range | $\Delta$ <br> Range | \# Labs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VWA | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 3 - 6 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| D7S820 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3 - \mathbf { 6 3 . 7 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| TH | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 - 5 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D18S51 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 7}-\mathbf{7 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| D16S539 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 8} \mathbf{- 6 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| CSF1P0 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1 - 5 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D3S1358 | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 3 - 6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| D21S11 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 9 - 7 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| D5S818 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0 - 5 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| FGA | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 7 - \mathbf { 8 3 . 6 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| TPOX | $\mathbf{3 7 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 - 5 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| D8S1179 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 7 - 7 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| D13S317 | $\mathbf{5 2 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 2 - 7 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |

In order to evaluate the effects of different frequency tables the probability of exclusion was calculated for the two loci at the extremes, D13S317 and VWA. The formula used was that of Garber and Morris (R. A. Garber \& J. W. Morris. General Equations for the Average Power of Exclusion for Genetic Systems of $n$ Codominant Alleles in One-Parent and NoParent Cases of Disputed Parentage. In R. H. Walker, ed., Inclusion Probabilities in Parentage Testing. AABB, pg. 277-280 (1983).

$$
\hat{\mathbf{A}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\substack{i, j \\ i<j}}^{n}\left(P_{i} P_{j}\right)^{2}\left(3 P_{i}+3 P_{j}-4\right)
$$

Where $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ is the average probability of exclusion, in an n allele codominant system that has alleles $a, b, \ldots, i, j, \ldots . n$ and allele frequencies of $P_{a}, P_{b}, \ldots, P_{i}, P_{j}, \ldots P_{n}$.

Table 6. The average probability of exclusion obtained using the formula above and published Caucasian data. FBI, ABI, and Promega were indicated as sources for frequencies used by the laboratories in Table 5. NIST is provided as another published frequency table, but was apparently not used by any laboratories.

|  | LOCUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D13S317 |  |  |  | VWA |  |  |  |
| Frequency Table | $\mathrm{FBI}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{ABI}^{2}$ | PROMEGA $^{2}$ | $\mathrm{NIST}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{FBI}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{ABI}^{2}$ | PROMEGA ${ }^{2}$ | NIST ${ }^{3}$ |
| \# Alleles | 392 | 400 | 420 | 604 | 392 | 400 | 426 | 604 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ (\%) | 56.8 | 59.5 | 57.6 | 59.2 | 62.5 | 61.7 | 62.8 | 62.2 |
| $\mathrm{X} \pm$ SD | $58.28 \pm 1.29$ |  |  |  | $62.3 \pm 0.469$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Budowle, et al, J Forensic Sci 1999;44(6).
${ }^{2}$ Provided by company as part of their kit
${ }^{3}$ Butler, et al, J Forensic Sci 2003;48(4).

The probabilities of exclusions obtained by the reporting laboratories for each frequency source is shown in Table 7. In looking at D13S539 the reason for the large range that appears in Table 5 may be the frequency table used by laboratories using frequency provided by Promega. In Table 6 available data was analyzed and the Promega frequencies for the available data were comparable to the other frequency tables. Promega may have more than one frequency table available, which may explain the difference between Table 6 and 7 Promega data. NIST used PowerPlex 16 to obtain the frequencies shown in Table 6, and NIST's average probability of exclusion is comparable to the probability of exclusion obtained with other data sources. Laboratories using Promega frequency tables should review the power of exclusion they are using.

Table 7. The average probabilities of exclusion separated by the source of the frequencies used by the participating laboratories.

| Allele Frequency <br> Source | Locus | \# Labs | Mean <br> $(\%)$ | SD | Range | $\Delta$ Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FBI | D13S317 | 10 | 54.2 | 5.3 | $47.0-60.0$ | 13 |
| ABI | D13S317 | 12 | 52.9 | 4.3 | $48.7-59.5$ | 10.8 |
| PROMEGA | D13S317 | 4 | 44.6 | 0.4 | $44.3-45.2$ | 0.9 |
| IN HOUSE | D13S317 | 6 | 55.1 | 7.5 | $44.2-64.0$ | 19.8 |
| FBI | VWA | 10 | 62.4 | 1.1 | $61.7-64.5$ | 2.8 |
| ABI | VWA | 12 | 61.7 | 1.9 | $56.1-62.8$ | 6.7 |
| PROMEGA | VWA | 4 | 64.3 | 0.3 | $64.0-64.7$ | 0.7 |
| IN HOUSE | VWA | 6 | 61.5 | 1.8 | $58.7-64.0$ | 5.3 |

## MISCONCEPTIONS IN TESTING

Last year's AABB report dealt with the issue of how to determine the power of a test. As indicated in that report it is the probability of exclusion not the number of systems or type of technology used that determines the power of a test. Ultimately the answer is - was a satisfactory combined paternity index (or probability of paternity) achieved? With the changing technologies laymen become confused as to the meaning of their test results. If one had a combined paternity index (CPI) of $1,000(99.9 \%)$ with red cell antigens and HLA does it mean something different than a CPI of 1,000 ( $99.9 \%$ ) with RFLP DNA methods or a CPI of $1,000(99.9 \%)$ with PCR DNA methods? The answer is that a CPI of $1,000(99.9 \%)$ means the same thing regardless of technology. The misconception that they mean something different has even spilled over into state contracts for paternity testing. In one state, the contract specified that cases tested with RFLP methods needed a CPI of 1,000 ( $99.9 \%$ ) while those tested with PCR methods needed a CPI of $10,000(99.99 \%)$. Contract managers should be cautious of claims that the CPI means something different with differing technologies.

## MUTATION REPORTS

One area of concern is the number of inconsistencies necessary to render an opinion of non-paternity. The laboratories were asked if they had seen any case where, in the opinion of the expert, the inconsistencies were double or triple "mutations" and not sufficient to render an opinion of non-paternity. Seventeen laboratories stated they had reported cases with double or triple mutations. Eighteen laboratories did not observe any mutations. The laboratories reported 67 cases with double mutations ( $0.019 \%$ of all reported cases) and four cases with triple mutations ( $0.001 \%$ of all reported cases) as inclusions. These findings were
similar to those observed in 2001 and 2002. Most laboratories report these cases with the inconsistencies noted and statistically considered. This illustrates the importance of accurate assessments of potential mutations and null alleles. With PCR-STR technology, this assessment is made easier than RFLP technology as the repeat differences between the obligatory allele and the closest allele in the disputed parent can be evaluated as part of the evaluation process.

## MUTATION CALCULATION AND FREQUENCIES

Single inconsistencies are routinely seen in the testing of paternity cases. If a laboratory comes to the conclusion that the inconsistency is a mutation, then the mutation result must be incorporated into the reported results. Laboratories were asked how they calculated the paternity index (PI) for these loci. The laboratories all appear to be using one of several calculation methods. Interestingly, several laboratories use different methods for different cases. The rational for using different methods in different cases is not known to the committee. Some laboratories are using the mutation rate as the PI ( $8 \%$ of laboratories), while others ( $61 \%$ ) used the mutation rate divided by the average probability of exclusion. Some laboratories ( $5.9 \%$ ) used the mutation rate as a transmission frequency and $17.6 \%$ of the laboratories used Brenner's method in looking at the repeat length difference between STR alleles.

During the 2004 annual meeting a presentation summarizing research among both European and American statisticians indicating general consensus that the future of mutation calculations is the method described by Fimmers, et.al. (Fimmers, R., L. Henke, J. Henke \& M.P. Baur. 1992. How to deal with mutations in DNA-testing, pp. 285-287 in Advances in Forensic Haemogenetics 4, edited by C. Rittner \& P.M. Schneider. Springer-Verlag, Berlin). The presentation at the 2004 Annual Meeting is, in part, based the data collected on specific mutation changes reported in last years AABB Annual Report Summary for 2002. The data collected for 2003 is reported in this year's report. Based on the observation of the statistician evaluating this issue and those laboratories present at the annual meeting, the committee will be asking contributing laboratories to present the specific mutation changes by ethnic group for the 2004 cases.

A summary of the mutation frequencies for each STR locus is provided in Appendix 2. In Appendix 3 a summary of the distance (repeat lengths) from the obligatory allele is provided. The frequencies for changes from one allele to another are presented in Appendix 6.

## NULL ALLELES

Null allele data was similar to last year's report. As with last year's report the frequency of the null phenotype is separated from the mutation rate, as these frequencies do not represent the frequency of the null allele. Laboratories should be careful in evaluating case with potential null alleles. The use of alternative primers may resolve these cases. In next years report the laboratories will not be asked to provide null allele data in order to concentrate on the mutation calculation issue. Very little data was presented from laboratories using Promega primers, therefore the summary table contains last years data. ABI data is summarized in Appendix 4 and Appendix 5. For a further discussion see the Annual Report Summary for Testing in 2002. The raw data is presented in Appendix 7.

## AMELOGENIN

The amelogenin locus is now used in a number of laboratories to test for the gender of the sample. A number of males lacking the Y or X amelogenin allele have been observed. Laboratories were asked to measure the apparent X males observed in their laboratory. No laboratory using Promega primers reported X or Y male phenotypes, although in last year's report several laboratories reported seeing amelogenin mutations. Like other DNA loci, amelogenin is subject to mutations. Therefore, occasionally normal males have a female amelogenin phenotype or a Y phenotype. The X male phenotype was most commonly seen in the "oriental" populations, in about $1 / 628$ men. The Y male phenotype was most commonly seen in the Black population in about $1 / 2221$ Black males.

Table 8. A Summary of Data on Apparent $X$ and $Y$ Males Seen with ABI Primers

|  | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Black | White | Hispanic | American <br> Indian | Oriental |
| Number X <br> Males <br> Observed | 34 | 33 | 13 | 1 | 4 |
| $\%$ | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.102 | 0.079 | 0.159 |
| Number Y <br> Males <br> Observed | 46 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \% | 0.045 | 0.006 | 0.008 |  | 0.040 |
| Total <br> Number of <br> Males <br> Tested | 102,176 | 84,925 | 12,752 | 1,270 | 2,512 |

Appendix 1. The Probability of Exclusion for Various Loci Evaluated Using PCR in 2003 (Note: for some loci only a single laboratory reported results).

| LOCUS | PE | LOCUS | PE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | 0.5850 | D2S1338 | 0.7148 |
| VWA | 0.6196 | D19S433 | 0.5560 |
| FGA | 0.7281 | F13A01 | 0.5393 |
| D5S818 | 0.4618 | FESFPS | 0.4321 |
| D13S317 | 0.5286 | F13B | 0.4521 |
| D7S820 | 0.5950 | LPL | 0.4821 |
| D8S1179 | 0.6305 | PENTA E | 0.7406 |
| D21S11 | 0.6825 | PENTA D | 0.6801 |
| D18S51 | 0.7313 | D1S80 | 0.6400 |
| TH | 0.5457 | D17S5 | 0.7000 |
| TPOX | 0.3716 | HPRTB | 0.4972 |
| CSF1P0 | 0.5043 | D12S1090 | 0.8610 |
| D16S539 | 0.5396 | D3S1744 | 0.6950 |
| D18S849 | 0.4570 |  |  |

Appendix 2. Summary of Apparent Mutations at various Loci analyzed by PCR. The Number Observed Refers to the Inconsistencies Reported.

|  | PATERNAL |  |  | MATERNAL |  |  | Number of Either Mat. Or Pat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locus | Number Observed | Total Meioses | Number / Total | Number Observed | Total Meioses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number / } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| D1S80* | 75 | 199543 | 0.00038 | 4 | 14052 | 0.00028 | NR |
| D122131* | 3 | 1240 | 0.00242 | 0 | 1212 | <0.00083 | NR |
| D1S533* | 6 | 3830 | 0.00157 | ? | ? | ? | NR |
| D2S1338 | 157 | 152310 | 0.00103 | 15 | 72830 | 0.00021 | 90 |
| D2S548* | 0 | 1240 | <0.00081 | 1 | 1212 | 0.00083 | NR |
| D3S1358 | 713 | 558836 | 0.00128 | 60 | 405452 | 0.00015 | 379 |
| D3S1744* | 84 | 20290 | 0.00414 | 16 | 10141 | 0.00158 | NR |
| D3S2386* | 1 | 1240 | 0.00081 | 0 | 1212 | <0.00083 | NR |
| D5S818 | 763 | 655603 | 0.00116 | 111 | 451736 | 0.00025 | 385 |
| D7S820 | 745 | 644743 | 0.00116 | 59 | 440562 | 0.00013 | 285 |
| D8S306* | 3 | 1240 | 0.00242 | 1 | 1212 | 0.00083 | NR |
| D8S1179 | 779 | 489968 | 0.00159 | 96 | 409869 | 0.00023 | 364 |
| D9S302* | 49 | 11179 | 0.00438 | 19 | 8332 | 0.00228 | NR |
| D10S1214* | 114 | 2938 | 0.03880 | 28 | 2903 | 0.00965 | NR |
| D12S1090* | 113 | 12886 | 0.00877 | 9 | 4894 | 0.00184 | NR |
| D13S317 | 881 | 621146 | 0.00142 | 192 | 482136 | 0.00040 | 485 |
| D14S297* | 0 | 1240 | <0.00081 | 0 | 1212 | <0.00083 | NR |
| D16S539 | 540 | 494465 | 0.00109 | 129 | 467774 | 0.00028 | 372 |
| D17S5* | 7 | 6568 | 0.00107 | 0 | 228 | <0.00439 | NR |
| D17S1185* | 0 | 1240 | <0.00081 | 0 | 1212 | <0.00083 | NR |
| D18S51 | 1094 | 494098 | 0.00221 | 186 | 296244 | 0.00063 | 466 |
| D18S535* | 2 | 2624 | 0.00076 | 1 | 2676 | 0.00037 | NR |
| D18S849* | 18 | 10440 | 0.00172 | 0 | 4291 | <0.00023 | NR |
| D19S253* | 17 | 3247 | 0.00524 | 8 | 2997 | 0.00267 | NR |
| D19S433 | 78 | 103489 | 0.00075 | 38 | 70001 | 0.00054 | 71 |
| D21S11 | 772 | 526708 | 0.00147 | 464 | 435388 | 0.00107 | 580 |
| D21S1437* | 1 | 1240 | 0.00081 | 0 | 1212 | <0.00083 | NR |
| D22S445* | 1 | 1240 | 0.00081 | 2 | 1212 | 0.00165 | NR |
| D22S683* | 9 | 2625 | 0.00343 | 2 | 2670 | 0.00075 | NR |
| ACTBP2* | 330 | 51610 | 0.00639 | 0 | 330 | <0.00303 | NR |
| CYP19* | 205 | 177210 | 0.00116 | 6 | 343 | 0.01749 | NR |
| CYAR04* | ? | ? | ? | 2 | 3539 | 0.00057 | NR |
| FGA | 2210 | 692776 | 0.00319 | 205 | 408230 | 0.00050 | 710 |
| CSF1PO | 982 | 643118 | 0.00153 | 95 | 304307 | 0.00031 | 410 |
| FESFPS* | 79 | 149028 | 0.00053 | 3 | 18918 | 0.00016 | NR |
| F13A01 | 39 | 69178 | 0.00056 | 1 | 10474 | 0.00010 | 5 |
| F13B* | 8 | 27183 | 0.00029 | 2 | 13157 | 0.00015 | 1 |
| LPL* | 9 | 16943 | 0.00053 | 0 | 8821 | <0.00011 | 4 |
| THO1 | 41 | 452382 | 0.00009 | 31 | 327172 | 0.00009 | 28 |
| TPOX | 54 | 457420 | 0.00012 | 18 | 400061 | 0.00004 | 28 |
| Penta D | 21 | 22501 | 0.00093 | 12 | 18701 | 0.00064 | 24 |
| Penta E | 75 | 55719 | 0.00135 | 29 | 44311 | 0.00065 | 59 |
| vWA | 1482 | 873547 | 0.00170 | 184 | 564398 | 0.00033 | 814 |

*Data from last years report. No new data submitted
RED refers to cumulative data (last year's data plus new data)
NR $=$ None Reported

## Appendix 3. The distance (repeat lengths) from the obligatory allele.

| PCR MUTATIONS: DISTANCE FROM OBLIGATORY ALLELE (Expressed as Percent of Total Number of Mutations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maternal |  |  |  |  |  | Paternal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STR Distance From Obligatory Allele |  |  |  | OTHER | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | STR Distance From Obligatory Allele |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GENETIC } \\ \text { SYSTEM } \end{gathered}$ | +1 | -1 | +2 | -2 |  |  | +1 | -1 | +2 | -2 | OTHER | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| D2S1338 | 0.583 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.000 | 12 | 0.432 | 0.543 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 81 |
| D3S1744 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| D3S1358 | 0.474 | 0.526 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19 | 0.521 | 0.465 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 213 |
| D5S818 | 0.632 | 0.368 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19 | 0.575 | 0.409 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.000 | 186 |
| D7S820 | 0.200 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10 | 0.512 | 0.482 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 166 |
| D8S1179 | 0.297 | 0.676 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.027 | 37 | 0.516 | 0.465 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 314 |
| D12S1090 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| D13S317 | 0.646 | 0.292 | 0.021 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 48 | 0.457 | 0.530 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 233 |
| D16S539 | 0.233 | 0.744 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.023 | 43 | 0.493 | 0.493 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 150 |
| D18S51 | 0.702 | 0.223 | 0.011 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 94 | 0.571 | 0.408 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 422 |
| D18S849 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| D19S433 | 0.313 | 0.687 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 16 | 0.451 | 0.549 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 51 |
| D21S11 | 0.167 | 0.782 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.032 | 156 | 0.696 | 0.266 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.024 | 286 |
| CSF1PO | 0.417 | 0.417 | 0.000 | 0.125 | 0.042 | 24 | 0.495 | 0.495 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 190 |
| FGA | 0.397 | 0.586 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 58 | 0.554 | 0.410 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 663 |
| F13A | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3 |
| F13B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| FESFPS | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| LPL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| PENTA D | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.400 | 0.200 | 0.400 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5 |
| PENTA E | 0.375 | 0.625 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 10 |
| THO1 | 0.167 | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.167 | 6 | 0.545 | 0.364 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.091 | 11 |
| TPOX | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.364 | 0.545 | 0.000 | 0.091 | 0.000 | 11 |
| VWA | 0.787 | 0.191 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 47 | 0.414 | 0.571 | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.002 | 471 |
| TOTALS | 0.422 | 0.531 | 0.005 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 599 | 0.524 | 0.453 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 3466 |

Appendix 4. The Number and Frequency of Maternal and Paternal Cases that Have an Apparent "Null Allele" Phenotype Pattern using ABI primers. Note that these frequencies are Not the Same as the Frequency of the Null Allele, which Cannot be Directly Ascertain from the Data Collected for this Report.

| Locus | BLACK |  |  |  | CAUCASIAN |  |  |  | OTHER RACE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat |
| D3S1358 | 1 | 0.000025 | 7 | 0.000153 | 3 | 0.000053 | 8 | 0.000137 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.00012 |
| D5S818 | 4 | 0.00009 | 14 | 0.00025 | 3 | 0.000066 | 2 | 0.000047 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.000066 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp. } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.000047 |
| D7S820 | 1 | 0.000026 | 3 | 0.000091 | 4 | 0.00010 | 10 | 0.000329 |  |  |  |  |
| D8S1179 | 10 | 0.00019 | 20 | 0.00038 | 4 | 0.000061 | 13 | 0.00015 | Hisp. <br> 3 <br> Asian <br> 4 <br> Pac I <br> 8 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00015 \\ \text { Asian } \\ 0.012 \\ \text { Pac I } \\ 0.0394 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hisp. <br> 4 <br> Asian <br> 2 <br> Pac I <br> 18 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 2 5} \\ \text { Asian } \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 3} \\ \text { Pac I } \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 6 3 4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| D13S317 | 7 | 0.00018 | 14 | 0.00032 | 5 | 0.00011 | 6 | 0.00020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1 3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| D18S51 | 6 | 0.00012 | 9 | 0.00015 | 2 | 0.00027 | 7 | 0.000165 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 4 7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00056 \end{gathered}$ |
| D21S11 | 12 | 0.000235 | 11 | 0.00016 | 7 | 0.000151 | 13 | 0.000171 |  |  |  |  |
| THO1 | 11 | 0.00025 | 7 | 0.00015 | 2 | 0.000052 | 1 | 0.000038 | Hisp <br> 1 | Hispanic $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 0 7 5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00042 \end{gathered}$ |
| VWA | 4 | 0.00007 | 10 | 0.00018 | 5 | 0.00008 | 12 | 0.00018 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hispanic } \\ 0.00031 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| FGA | 28 | 0.000564 | 31 | 0.00048 | 6 | 0.00016 | 12 | 0.00016 | Hisp. 1 | 0.00021 | Hisp. $2$ | 0.00015 |
| D2S1338 |  |  | 3 | 0.00015 | 1 | 0.000086 | 2 | 0.000076 |  |  |  |  |

Pac I = Pacific Island

| Locus | BLACK |  |  |  | CAUCASIAN |  |  |  | OTHER RACE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat | \# Mat | Freq. Mat. | \# Pat. | Freq. Pat |
| TPOX |  |  | 2 | 0.000057 |  |  | 1 | 0.00024 |  |  | Hisp 2 | 0.00013 |
| D5S818 | 4 | 0.00009 | 14 | 0.00025 | 3 | 0.000066 | 2 | 0.000047 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hisp. } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.000066 | Hisp. <br> 2 | 0.000047 |
| CSF1PO | 2 | 0.000053 | 5 | 0.00012 | 2 | 0.000053 | 12 | 0.00028 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.00018 |
| D16S539 | 1 | 0.000026 | 6 | 0.00010 | 6 | 0.00013 | 4 | 0.000073 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.00039 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.00017 |
| D19S433 | 3 | 0.00021 | 4 | 0.00021 | 1 | 0.000087 | 2 | 0.000085 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hisp } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.00082 |

Appendix 5. This appendix provides a summary of the potential null alleles based on the data supplied. The sample for the 2003 Promega mutation data was insufficient to draw a conclusion so the 2002 data is presented. Note that the use of the term YES means the data is consistent with the presence of a null allele. See the Annual Report Summary for Testing in 2002 for a complete discussion of the method used to make a determination.

| LOCUS | PRIMER SOURCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ABI (2003) | PROMEGA (2002) |
| D3S1358 | YES | $?$ |
| D5S818 | YES | $?$ |
| D7S820 | YES | $?$ |
| D13S317 | YES | YES |
| D16S539 | NO | YES |
| D18S51 | YES | ? |
| D21S11 | YES | YES |
| PENTA D | N/A | YES |
| PENTA E | N/A | YES |
| THO1 | YES | YES |
| TPOX | YES | $?$ |
| VWA | YES | YES |
| FGA | YES | YES |
| D2S1338 | YES | N/A |
| D19S433 | $?$ | N/A |
| D8S1179 | YES | $?$ |
| CSF1PO | NO | $?$ |

Appendix 6. Apparent mutation changes from on allele to another.

| Maternal and Paternal D3S1358 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 19 | 2 | $0.94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 20 | 5 | $2.35 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 18 | 13 | $6.10 \%$ | 4 | $21.05 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 29 | $13.62 \%$ | 3 | $15.79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 17 | 26 | $12.21 \%$ | 3 | $15.79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 33 | $15.49 \%$ |  | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 16 | 21 | $9.86 \%$ | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 15 | 1 | $0.47 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 18 | 1 | $0.47 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 17 | 27 | $12.68 \%$ | 4 | $21.05 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 15 | 24 | $11.27 \%$ | 1 | $5.26 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 13 | $6.10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 15 | 14 | 13 | $6.10 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 15 | 3 | $1.41 \%$ | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |
| 13 | 15 | 1 | $0.47 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 1 | $0.47 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 213 | $100.00 \%$ | 19 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal D5S818 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 16 | 15 | 2 | $1.08 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 1 | $0.54 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 14 | 3 | $1.61 \%$ |  |  |
| 14 | 15 | 6 | $3.23 \%$ | 1 | $5.26 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 26 | $13.98 \%$ | 3 | $15.79 \%$ |
| 13 | 14 | 40 | $21.51 \%$ | 5 | $26.32 \%$ |
| 13 | 12 | 28 | $15.05 \%$ | 3 | $15.79 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 40 | $21.51 \%$ | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |
| 12 | 11 | 11 | $5.91 \%$ | 1 | $5.26 \%$ |
| 12 | 10 | 1 | $0.54 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 12 | 14 | $7.53 \%$ | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |
| 11 | 10 | 5 | $2.69 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 9 | 1 | $0.54 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 3 | $1.61 \%$ | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |
| 10 | 9 | 1 | $0.54 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 3 | $1.61 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 7 | 1 | $0.54 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 186 | $100.00 \%$ | 19 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal D7S820 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 15 | 14 | 2 | $1.20 \%$ |  |  |
| 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 13 | 16 | $9.64 \%$ | 2 | $22.22 \%$ |
| 13.1 | 12.1 |  |  | 1 | $11.11 \%$ |
| 13 | 14 | 8 | $4.82 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 12 | 24 | $14.46 \%$ | 2 | $22.22 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 26 | $15.66 \%$ |  |  |
| 12 | 11 | 21 | $12.65 \%$ | 2 | $22.22 \%$ |
| 11 | 12 | 19 | $11.45 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 10 | 5 | $3.01 \%$ | 1 | $11.11 \%$ |
| 10 | 11 | 22 | $13.25 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 9 | 9 | $5.42 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 5 | $3.01 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 8 | 3 | $1.81 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 10 | 1 | $0.60 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 5 | $3.01 \%$ | 1 | $11.11 \%$ |
|  |  | 166 | $100.00 \%$ | 9 | $100.00 \%$ |

Maternal and Paternal D8S1179 Mutations Observed in 2003

| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 18 | 17 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ | 3 | $8.11 \%$ |
| 17 | 18 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 16 | 15 | $4.78 \%$ | 4 | $10.81 \%$ |
| 16 | 17 | 12 | $3.82 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 15 | 38 | $12.10 \%$ | 2 | $5.41 \%$ |
| 15 | 16 | 40 | $12.74 \%$ | 2 | $5.41 \%$ |
| 15 | 14 | 32 | $10.19 \%$ | 5 | $13.51 \%$ |
| 14 | 15 | 47 | $14.97 \%$ | 4 | $10.81 \%$ |
| 14 | 14.2 |  |  | 1 | $2.70 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 32 | $10.19 \%$ | 4 | $10.81 \%$ |
| 14 | 10 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 15 | 3 | $0.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 27 | $8.60 \%$ | 1 | $2.70 \%$ |
| 13 | 12 | 19 | $6.05 \%$ | 5 | $13.51 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 11 | $3.50 \%$ | 2 | $5.41 \%$ |
| 12 | 11 | 8 | $2.55 \%$ | 2 | $5.41 \%$ |
| 11 | 13 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | $4.14 \%$ | 1 | $2.70 \%$ |
| 11 | 10 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 7 | $2.23 \%$ | 1 | $2.70 \%$ |
| 9 | 13 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 3 | $0.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 1 | $0.32 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 314 | $100.00 \%$ | 37 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal D13S317 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 16 | 15 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ | 1 | $2.04 \%$ |
| 15 | 14 | 14 | $6.01 \%$ | 4 | $8.16 \%$ |
| 15 | 13 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |  |  |
| 14 | 15 | 14 | $6.01 \%$ | 8 | $16.33 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 40 | $17.17 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 21 | $9.01 \%$ | 6 | $12.24 \%$ |
| 13 | 12 | 34 | $14.59 \%$ | 5 | $10.20 \%$ |
| 13 | 11 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ | 2 | $4.08 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 48 | $20.60 \%$ | 11 | $22.45 \%$ |
| 12 | 11 | 26 | $11.16 \%$ | 1 | $2.04 \%$ |
| 11 | 13 |  |  | 1 | $2.04 \%$ |
| 11 | 12 | 15 | $6.44 \%$ | 3 | $6.12 \%$ |
| 11 | 10 | 8 | $3.43 \%$ | 3 | $6.12 \%$ |
| 10 | 11 | 2 | $0.86 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 9 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 6 | $2.58 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 8 |  |  | 1 | $2.04 \%$ |
| 8 | 9 |  |  | 3 | $6.12 \%$ |
|  |  | 233 | $100.00 \%$ | 49 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal D16S539 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 16 | 15 |  |  | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 14 | 3 | $2.00 \%$ | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 14 | 15 | 2 | $1.33 \%$ | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 23 | $15.33 \%$ | 6 | $13.95 \%$ |
| 13 | 14 | 31 | $20.67 \%$ | 4 | $9.30 \%$ |
| 13 | 12 | 31 | $20.67 \%$ | 13 | $30.23 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 19 | $12.67 \%$ | 2 | $4.65 \%$ |
| 12 | 11 | 13 | $8.67 \%$ | 9 | $20.93 \%$ |
| 12 | 9 |  |  | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 11 | 13 | 1 | $0.67 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | $8.67 \%$ | 2 | $4.65 \%$ |
| 11 | 10 | 2 | $1.33 \%$ | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 11 | 9 | 1 | $0.67 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | 7 | $4.67 \%$ | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 10 | 9 | 2 | $1.33 \%$ | 1 | $2.33 \%$ |
| 9 | 10 | 2 | $1.33 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 150 | $100.00 \%$ | 43 | $100.00 \%$ |

Maternal and Paternal TPOX Mutations Observed in 2003

| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 12 | 13 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 12 | 11 | 4 | $36.36 \%$ | 2 | $100.00 \%$ |
| 11 | 12 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 10 | 2 | $18.18 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | $100.00 \%$ | 2 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal TH01 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 12 | 13 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 9 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 9.3 | 10 |  |  | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 9 | 10 | 2 | $18.18 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 8 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 8 | 9 | 3 | $27.27 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ | 2 | $33.33 \%$ |
| 7 | 8 |  |  | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 7 | 6 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 6 | 9 | 1 | $9.09 \%$ |  |  |
| 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | $100.00 \%$ | 6 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal F13A01 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 7 | 6 | 1 | $33.33 \%$ |  |  |
| 6 | 5 | 1 | $33.33 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 1 | $33.33 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |


| Maternal and Paternal CSF1P0 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 15 | 14 | 10 | $5.26 \%$ |  |  |
| 14 | 15 | 3 | $1.58 \%$ | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 17 | $8.95 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 15 | 1 | $0.53 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 16 | $8.42 \%$ | 2 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 13 | 12 | 33 | $17.37 \%$ | 4 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 12 | 13 | 43 | $22.63 \%$ | 4 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 12 | 11 | 19 | $10.00 \%$ | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 12 | 10 | 1 | $0.53 \%$ | 2 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 11 | 12 | 23 | $12.11 \%$ | 2 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 11 | 10 | 12 | $6.32 \%$ | 4 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 11 | 9 |  |  | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 10 | 11 | 7 | $3.68 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | 9 | 3 | $1.58 \%$ | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 10 | 7 |  |  | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 9 | 10 | 1 | $0.53 \%$ | 1 | $4.17 \%$ |
| 8 | 9 | 1 | $0.53 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 190 | $100.00 \%$ | 24 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal D18S51 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 26 | 25 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 25 | 24 | 5 | 1.18\% |  |  |
| 25 | 23 | 2 | 0.47\% |  |  |
| 24 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 23 | 3 | 0.71\% |  |  |
| 23 | 24 | 4 | 0.95\% | 2 | 2.13\% |
| 23 | 22 | 9 | 2.13\% | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 22 | 23 | 5 | 1.18\% | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 22 | 21 | 10 | 2.37\% | 3 | 3.19\% |
| 22 | 20 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 21 | 22 | 18 | 4.27\% | 4 | 4.26\% |
| 21 | 20 | 20 | 4.74\% | 2 | 2.13\% |
| 21 | 19 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 20 | 23 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 20 | 21 | 21 | 4.98\% | 8 | 8.51\% |
| 20 | 19 | 28 | 6.64\% |  |  |
| 19 | 20 | 31 | 7.35\% | 13 | 13.83\% |
| 19 | 18 | 17 | 4.03\% | 3 | 3.19\% |
| 19 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 18 | 19 | 40 | 9.48\% | 5 | 5.32\% |
| 18 | 17 | 16 | 3.79\% | 5 | 5.32\% |
| 18 | 15 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 36 | 8.53\% | 5 | 5.32\% |
| 17 | 16 | 21 | 4.98\% |  |  |
| 16 | 17 | 31 | 7.35\% | 9 | 9.57\% |
| 16 | 15 | 17 | 4.03\% | 3 | 3.19\% |
| 16 | 14 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 16 | 13 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 27 | 6.40\% | 5 | 5.32\% |
| 15 | 14 | 9 | 2.13\% | 3 | 3.19\% |
| 14 | 16 |  |  | 2 | 2.13\% |
| 14 | 15 | 14 | 3.32\% | 5 | 5.32\% |
| 14 | 13 | 5 | 1.18\% |  |  |
| 13.2 | 14.2 | 2 | 0.47\% |  |  |
| 13.2 | 13 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 13 | 18 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 13 | 15 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 5 | 1.18\% | 2 | 2.13\% |
| 13 | 12 | 6 | 1.42\% | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 12 | 13 | 6 | 1.42\% | 6 | 6.38\% |
| 12 | 11 | 4 | 0.95\% |  |  |
| 12 | 19 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
| 11 | 12 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 11 | 10 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 10 | 13 | 1 | 0.24\% |  |  |
| 10 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 1.06\% |
|  |  | 422 | 100.00\% | 94 | 100.00\% |


| Maternal and Paternal D21S11 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 38 | 37 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 37 | 38 | 2 | 0.70\% |  |  |
| 37 | 36 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 36 | 37 | 2 | 0.70\% |  |  |
| 36 | 35 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 35 | 36 | 3 | 1.05\% |  |  |
| 35 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 34.2 | 33.2 |  |  | 11 | 7.01\% |
| 34 | 35 | 5 | 1.75\% |  |  |
| 34 | 33 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 33.2 | 34.2 | 12 | 4.20\% |  |  |
| 33.2 | 32.2 | 6 | 2.10\% | 21 | 13.38\% |
| 33.2 | 29.2 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 33 | 34 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 33 | 32 | 3 | 1.05\% | 3 | 1.91\% |
| 32.2 | 34.2 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 32.2 | 33.2 | 18 | 6.29\% | 4 | 2.55\% |
| 32.2 | 33 | 3 | 1.05\% |  |  |
| 32.2 | 31.2 | 6 | 2.10\% | 6 | 3.82\% |
| 32.2 | 31 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 32 | 33 | 12 | 4.20\% | 3 | 1.91\% |
| 32 | 31 | 5 | 1.75\% | 14 | 8.92\% |
| 31.2 | 32.2 | 9 | 3.15\% |  |  |
| 31.2 | 32 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 31.2 | 30.2 | 3 | 1.05\% | 4 | 2.55\% |
| 31.2 | 30 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 31 | 32 | 24 | 8.39\% | 2 | 1.27\% |
| 31 | 30 | 15 | 5.24\% | 33 | 21.02\% |
| 31 | 29 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 30.2 | 31.2 | 1 | 0.35\% | 2 | 1.27\% |
| 30.2 | 29 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 30 | 33.2 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 30 | 31.2 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 30 | 31 | 44 | 15.38\% | 3 | 1.91\% |
| 30 | 30.2 |  |  | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 30 | 29 | 18 | 6.29\% | 16 | 10.19\% |
| 30 | 27 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 29 | 31 | 1 | 0.35\% |  |  |
| 29 | 30 | 36 | 12.59\% | 7 | 4.46\% |
| 29 | 28 | 13 | 4.55\% | 13 | 8.28\% |
| 29 | 27 | 1 | 0.35\% | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 28 | 30 | 1 | 0.35\% | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 28 | 29 | 24 | 8.39\% | 5 | 3.18\% |
| 28 | 27 | 4 | 1.40\% | 1 | 0.64\% |
| 27 | 28 | 6 | 2.10\% |  |  |
|  |  | 286 | 100.00\% | 157 | 100.00\% |


| Maternal and Paternal FGA Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 51 | 52 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 50 | 51 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 46.2 | 45.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 46 | 46.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 45.2 | 46.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 43.2 | 44.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 33.2 | 32.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 30.2 | 31.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 29 | 30 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 28 | 29 | 9 | 1.36\% | 2 | 3.45\% |
| 28 | 27 | 7 | 1.06\% |  |  |
| 27 | 28 | 11 | 1.66\% | 1 | 1.72\% |
| 27 | 26 | 24 | 3.62\% | 1 | 1.72\% |
| 27 | 25 | 3 | 0.45\% |  |  |
| 26 | 27 | 23 | 3.47\% | 1 | 1.72\% |
| 26 | 25 | 50 | 7.54\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 26 | 24 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 25.2 | 24 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 25 | 26 | 52 | 7.84\% | 1 | 1.72\% |
| 25 | 24 | 67 | 10.11\% | 8 | 13.79\% |
| 25 | 19 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 24 | 25 | 88 | 13.27\% | 2 | 3.45\% |
| 24 | 23 | 41 | 6.18\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 24 | 22 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 24 | 21 | 2 | 0.30\% |  |  |
| 23 | 24 | 64 | 9.65\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 23 | 22 | 31 | 4.68\% | 5 | 8.62\% |
| 23 | 21 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 23 | 20 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 23 | 19 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 22.2 | 23.2 | 4 | 0.60\% |  |  |
| 22.2 | 23 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 22 | 23 | 40 | 6.03\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 22 | 21 | 27 | 4.07\% | 7 | 12.07\% |
| 22 | 20 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 22 | 19 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 21.1 | 22.2 | 2 | 0.30\% |  |  |
| 21 | 24 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 21 | 22 | 39 | 5.88\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 21 | 20 | 10 | 1.51\% | 4 | 6.90\% |
| 21 | 19 | 5 | 0.75\% |  |  |
| 20.2 | 19.2 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 20 | 23 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 20 | 22 | 1 | 0.15\% |  |  |
| 20 | 21 | 17 | 2.56\% | 3 | 5.17\% |
| 20 | 19 | 5 | 0.75\% |  |  |
| 20 | 18 |  |  | 1 | 1.72\% |


| 19 | 20 | 9 | $1.36 \%$ | 1 | $1.72 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 18 | 6 | $0.90 \%$ | 1 | $1.72 \%$ |
| 18.2 | 17.2 | 1 | $0.15 \%$ |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 3 | $0.45 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 663 | $100.00 \%$ | 58 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Maternal and Paternal vWA Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 23 | 22 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 22 | 21 | 7 | $1.49 \%$ |  |  |
| 21 | 22 | 2 | $0.42 \%$ | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 21 | 20 | 17 | $3.61 \%$ | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 20 | 21 | 12 | $2.55 \%$ | 4 | $8.51 \%$ |
| 20 | 19 | 33 | $7.01 \%$ |  |  |
| 19 | 20 | 19 | $4.03 \%$ | 4 | $8.51 \%$ |
| 19 | 18 | 67 | $14.23 \%$ | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 19 | 17 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 56 | $11.89 \%$ | 8 | $17.02 \%$ |
| 18 | 17 | 69 | $14.65 \%$ | 4 | $8.51 \%$ |
| 18 | 16 | 2 | $0.42 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 48 | $10.19 \%$ | 8 | $17.02 \%$ |
| 17 | 16 | 53 | $11.25 \%$ | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 17 | 15 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 19 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 18 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 17 | 29 | $6.16 \%$ | 7 | $14.89 \%$ |
| 16 | 15 | 18 | $3.82 \%$ | 2 | $4.26 \%$ |
| 16 | 14 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 24 | $5.10 \%$ | 3 | $6.38 \%$ |
| 15 | 14 | 3 | $0.64 \%$ |  |  |
| 14 | 17 |  |  | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 14 | 15 | 4 | $0.85 \%$ | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 1 | $0.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 12 | 13 |  |  | 1 | $2.13 \%$ |
|  |  | 471 | $100.00 \%$ | 47 | $100.00 \%$ |

Maternal and Paternal D19S433 Mutations Observed in 2003

| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 17.2 | 16.2 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 16 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ | 1 | $6.25 \%$ |
| 16.2 | 17.2 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 16.2 | 15.2 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 17 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 15 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ | 1 | $6.25 \%$ |
| 15.2 | 16.2 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ | 1 | $6.25 \%$ |
| 15.2 | 14.2 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 7 | $13.73 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 | 14 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ | 3 | $18.75 \%$ |
| 14.2 | 13.2 | 3 | $5.88 \%$ | 2 | $12.50 \%$ |
| 14 | 15 | 4 | $7.84 \%$ | 2 | $12.50 \%$ |
| 14 | 13 | 11 | $21.57 \%$ | 4 | $25.00 \%$ |
| 13.2 | 14.2 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 13.2 | 12.2 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 4 | $7.84 \%$ |  |  |
| 13 | 12 | 2 | $3.92 \%$ |  |  |
| 12 | 13 |  |  | 1 | $6.25 \%$ |
| 11 | 12 | 1 | $1.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 |  |  | 1 | $6.25 \%$ |
|  |  | 51 | $100.00 \%$ | 16 | $100.00 \%$ |

Maternal and Paternal Penta D Mutations Observed in 2003

| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 11 | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 13 | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |  |
| 11 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 11 | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | 2 | $40.00 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |


| Maternal and Paternal D2S1338 Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 27 | 26 | 6 | $7.41 \%$ |  |  |
| 26 | 27 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
| 26 | 25 | 11 | $13.58 \%$ | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 25 | 26 | 5 | $6.17 \%$ | 3 | $25.00 \%$ |
| 25 | 24 | 8 | $9.88 \%$ |  |  |
| 25 | 23 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 24 | 25 | 2 | $2.47 \%$ | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 24 | 23 | 2 | $2.47 \%$ |  |  |
| 23 | 24 | 7 | $8.64 \%$ | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 23 | 22 | 3 | $3.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 22 | 23 | 3 | $3.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 22 | 21 | 5 | $6.17 \%$ |  |  |
| 21 | 22 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
| 21 | 20 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 20 | 21 | 5 | $6.17 \%$ | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 20 | 19 | 3 | $3.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 19 | 20 | 2 | $2.47 \%$ |  |  |
| 19 | 18 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
| 18 | 20 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 5 | $6.17 \%$ |  |  |
| 18 | 17 | 3 | $3.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 3 | $3.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 17 | 16 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
| 16 | 17 |  |  | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| 16 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 1 | $1.23 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | 81 | $100.00 \%$ | 12 | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Maternal and Paternal Penta E Mutations Observed in 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparent Change |  | Paternal |  | Maternal |  |
| From | To | Observed | \% Total | Observed | \%Total |
| 23 | 22 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 20 | 21 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 19 | 20 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 19 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 1 | 10.00\% | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 18 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 17 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 16 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 17 | 15 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 16 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 16 | 15 | 2 | 20.00\% | 2 | 25.00\% |
| 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 13 |  |  | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 13 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
| 12 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 11 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 12.50\% |
| 5 | 8 | 1 | 10.00\% |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 100.00\% | 8 | 100.00\% |

Appendix 7. Apparent Null Alleles for the Loci Submitted for the 2003 Annual Report.

| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D3S1358 |  | 17 | 18 | 1 | American <br> Indian | 864 |
| D3S1358 | 15, | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| D3S1358 | 16 | 15 | 15, | 1 | Black | 39496 |
| D3S1358 | 15, | 15 | 16 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| D3S1358 |  | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 2 | Black | $?$ |
| D3S1358 |  | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 1 | Black | 12,323 |


| D3S1358 |  | 16 | 15 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 15, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 15 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 17 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 15, \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 16 | 1 | Black | 33405 |
| D3S1358 |  | 15 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 12,292 |
| D3S1358 | 15 | 16 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 12,193 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 15 | 1 | Caucasian | 26555 |
| D3S1358 | 15 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 39074 |
| D3S1358 |  | 16 | 17 | 1 | Caucasian | 12,292 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 16 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,278 |
| D3S1358 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 1 | Caucasian | 26555 |
| D3S1358 | 16 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 15, \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 5,824 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 17 | 1 | Caucasian | 26555 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 17, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 17 | 1 | Caucasian | 15,314 |
| D3S1358 |  | 19 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 15,314 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 15 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,539 |
| D3S1358 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 15 | 1 | Hispanic | 12200 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D3S1358 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCR LOCUS | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 0}, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 12 | 1 | Asian | 2,106 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Asian Indian | 265 |
| D5S818 |  | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 868 |

| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 12 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 13 | 1 | Black | 18,607 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 18 | 1 | Black | 1379 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | Black | 2,611 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 2,545 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 13 | $12,$ | 1 | Black | 39262 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 11 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 1379 |
| D5S818 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 2 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 13, \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 1 | Black | 2,733 |
| D5S818 | 13 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39262 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 9, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | Black | 33235 |
| D5S818 |  | 12 | 11 | 2 | Caucasian | 16,415 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38827 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 26402 |
| D5S818 | 12 | $` 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10, } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 651 |
| D5S818 | 13 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 5,826 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | Hispanic | 11838 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Hispanic | 4,607 |
| D5S818 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 13307 |
| D5S818 | $\begin{aligned} & 9, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 13 | 1 | Other | 1,007 |

| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  | $?$ |
| D5S818 |  | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 1 | Black | $?$ |
| D5S818 |  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 1,208 |
| D5S818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | $\begin{gathered} \text { RACE } \\ \text { OR ETHNICITY } \end{gathered}$ | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D7S820 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | American Indian | 866 |
| D7S820 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 1 | Black | 32830 |
| D7S820 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 1 | Black | 32830 |
| D7S820 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10,1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 10 | 1 | Black | 32830 |
| D7S820 | 12 | 11 |  | 1 | Black | 38756 |
| D7S820 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,263 |
| D7S820 | $\begin{aligned} & 9, \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 7 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,263 |
| D7S820 |  | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,263 |
| D7S820 |  | 7 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | 13 | 9 | $9,$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38415 |
| D7S820 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | $\begin{aligned} & 9, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 1 | Caucasian | 26124 |
| D7S820 | 8 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38415 |
| D7S820 | 7 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 8, \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38415 |
| D7S820 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 38415 |
| D7S820 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11, \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Hispanic | 12535 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACE OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D7S820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 1 | American Indian | 848 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | American Indian | 404 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | American Indian | 404 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 8 |  | 1 | Asian | 331 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 1 | Asian | 331 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Asian | 331 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Asian | 331 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | Asian | ? |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 17 | 1 | Asian | 462 |
| D8S1179 |  | 16 | 13 | 1 | Asian | 1,024 |
| D8S1179 |  | 9 | 14 | 1 | Black | 12,978 |
| D8S1179 | 11 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | 11 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 |  | 10 | 14 | 1 | Black | 12,978 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 1,347 |
| D8S1179 |  | 12 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | Black | 2,474 |
| D8S1179 |  | 13 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |


| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 14 |  | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 1 | Black | 2,606 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 15 | 1 | Black | 2,606 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 15 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 15 |  | 1 | Black | 12,976 |
| D8S1179 | 10 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 13 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 |  | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | ? |
| D8S1179 | 12 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 16 | 1 | Black | 33247 |
| D8S1179 |  | 16 | 14 | 1 | Black | 12,978 |
| D8S1179 |  | 16 | 15 | 1 | Black | 12,978 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39321 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 38820 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38820 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 12 | $10,$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38820 |
| D8S1179 |  | 12 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 12,944 |
| D8S1179 | 11 | 13 | $11,$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38820 |
| D8S1179 | 13, 14 | 13 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |


| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | $10,$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,764 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 15 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,268 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 26389 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Hispanic | 1,629 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Hispanic | 4,605 |
| D8S1179 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 13522 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | Hispanic | 12022 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 12 | 1 | Hispanic | 12022 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,148 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 12022 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,148 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 10 |  | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 14 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 12 |  | 2 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 10 | 13 | 8, 13 | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 16 | 13 | 13, 14 | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 12, 13 | 13 | 14 | 1 | PI | 284 |


| D8S1179 | $12,$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $10,$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 12, 14 | 14 | 10 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 13 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 12 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 12 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 |  | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $10,$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | $\begin{aligned} & 13, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 13 | 1 | PI | 284 |
| D8S1179 | 16 | 14 | $14,$ | 1 | PI | 203 |
| D8S1179 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 1 | PI | 284 |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Phenotypes of } \\ \text { The Observed } \\ \text { Alleles }\end{array}$ |  |  | PROMEGA | $\begin{array}{c}\text { RACE } \\ \text { OR ETHNICITY }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Tests in the } \\ \text { same System by } \\ \text { Race }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D8S1179 | 8 | 13 | 14, |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 21 | 1 | Asian | 2,069 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & 19.2 \end{aligned}$ | 18.2 | 26 | 1 | Black | 17865 |
| FGA | 22 | 19 |  | 1 | Black | 12,171 |
| FGA | 26 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 19, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA |  | 19 | 21 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 19, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 19 | 21 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 23 | 20 | $20,$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 27 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20, \\ 21.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $20,$ | 20 | 22 | 2 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA |  | 20 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 20, \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 20, \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 26 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 23 | 21 |  | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 22 | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 21, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 22 | 21 | 21, | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 21, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 23 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 21, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 23 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 23 | 1 | Black | 2,474 |
| FGA | 21 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 22 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 20, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 23 | 23 | 21 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 22 | 23 | 22, <br> 23 | 1 | Black | 37459 |


| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 22 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGA | 22 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23, \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 24 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA |  | 23 | 27 | 1 | Black | ? |
| FGA | 20 | 24 |  | 1 | Black | 12,171 |
| FGA | 22 | 24 |  | 1 | Black | 12,171 |
| FGA | 23 | 24 |  | 1 | Black | 12,171 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 18.2 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 19 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA |  | 24 | 20 | 1 | Black | 11,998 |
| FGA | 24 | 24 | 21 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 21 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 23 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 22 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 23 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 25 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 23 | 25 |  | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 24 | 25 |  | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA |  | 25 | 18.2 | 1 | Black | 11,998 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 20 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 22.2 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 22 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 25 | 25 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | 27 | 25 | 23, 25 | 1 | Black | 37459 |


| FGA | 18.2 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGA | 18.2 | 25.2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23, \\ 25.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | 22 | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 23 | 1 | Black | 2,474 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 23 | 1 | Black | 17865 |
| FGA | 22 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37459 |
| FGA |  | 29 | 23 | 1 | Black | 31801 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 18, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 24 | 1 | Caucasian | 13379 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 20, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 24 | 1 | Caucasian | 25614 |
| FGA |  | 21 | 20 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,968 |
| FGA | 20 | 21 | 21 | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA | 22 | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 21, \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA |  | 22 | 23 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,131 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 21 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,764 |
| FGA |  | 23 | 22 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,131 |
| FGA |  | 23 | 22 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,968 |
| FGA |  | 24 | 22 | 1 | Caucasian | 25614 |
| FGA |  | 24 | 23 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,266 |
| FGA | 24 | 24 | 23 | 1 | Caucasian | 25614 |
| FGA | 20 | 25 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA | 20 | 25 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA | 20 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 22, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 24 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,266 |
| FGA | 20 | 26 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 37542 |
| FGA | $\begin{aligned} & 21, \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 21 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,266 |
| FGA | 21 | 21 | 23 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,141 |
| FGA | 20 | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20, \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Hispanic | 4,862 |
| FGA |  | 22 | 26 | 1 | Hispanic | 11440 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | $\begin{gathered} \text { RACE } \\ \text { OR ETHNICITY } \end{gathered}$ | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| FGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  | $?$ |
| PENTA E | 14 | 13 | 13, | 1 | Hispanic | $?$ |
| PENTA E | 11, | 22 | 14 | 1 | Hispanic | $?$ |
| PENTA E |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| THO1 | 9 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 7, \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | American Indian | 490 |
| THO1 |  | 7 | 9.3 | 1 | Black | 33312 |
| THO1 |  | 8 | 7 | 1 | Black | 12,865 |
| THO1 |  | 9.3 | 7 | 1 | Black | 12,865 |
| THO1 | 6, <br> 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 | Black | 33312 |
| THO1 | 6, 9 | 6 | 7 | 1 | Black | 33312 |
| THO1 | 6 | 7 |  | 1 | Black | ? |
| THO1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6, \\ 9.3 \end{gathered}$ | 9.3 | 7 | 1 | Black | 33312 |
| THO1 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 1 | Black | 2,418 |
| THO1 | 7 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 6, \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 7 | 8 |  | 1 | Black | ? |
| THO1 | 7 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 7, \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 7 | 9 |  | 2 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 7 | 9.3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8, \\ 9.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 1 | Black | 33312 |
| THO1 | 8 | 9 | 7, 8 | 1 | Black | 1379 |
| THO1 | 8 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8, \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 8 | 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8, \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Black | 1379 |


| THO1 | 9 | 8 |  | 1 | Black | $?$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THO1 | 9 | 9.3 | 9, <br> 9.3 | 1 | Black | 39369 |
| THO1 | 9.3 | 9 | 9, <br> 9.3 | 1 | Black | 2,418 |
| THO1 | 6 | 7 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 38825 |
| THO1 | 6 | 7 | 6, | 1 | Caucasian | 38825 |
| THO1 | 7, | 7 | 9 | 1 | Caucasian | 26375 |
| THO1 | 6 | 6 | 9.3 | 1 | Hispanic | 11793 |
| THO1 | 6, | 7 | 9.3 | 1 | Hispanic | 11793 |
| THO1 | 7 | 7 | 9.3 | 1 | Hispanic | 11793 |
| THO1 | 8, | 8 | 6 | 1 | Hispanic | 11793 |
| THO1 | 9 | 9.3 | 6, | 1 | Hispanic | 13269 |
| THO1 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 7 | 1 | Hispanic | 11793 |
| THO1 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | PI | 175 |


| PCR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |  |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| THO1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| TPOX | 10, | 10 | 11 | 1 | Black | 32853 |
| TPOX | 8, | 8 | 11 | 1 | Black | 2,470 |
| TPOX | 8, | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,102 |
| TPOX | 8, | 9 | 11 | 1 | Hispanic | 11756 |
| TPOX | 8, | 11 | 8 | 1 | Hispanic | 3,965 |


| PCR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |


|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TPOX | 8 | 8 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 212 |
| TPOX |  | 13 | 11 | 1 | Hispanic | 456 |
| TPOX | 8, | 8 | 5 | 1 | Hispanic | 456 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | American Indian | 822 |
| CSF1PO |  | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 7,574 |
| CSF1PO | 10 | 11 | 11 | 1 | Black | 37725 |
| CSF1PO | 10 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37725 |
| CSF1PO | 11 | 11 | 10 | 1 | Black | 2,291 |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 31976 |
| CSF1PO | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 31976 |
| CSF1PO | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 31976 |
| CSF1PO |  | 12 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 13,342 |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| CSF1PO | $10,$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| CSF1PO | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,744 |
| CSF1PO | 11 | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| CSF1PO | 11 | 11 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| CSF1PO | 11 | 12 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 37539 |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 2 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| CSF1PO | $11,$ | 11 | 10 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,744 |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,744 |
| CSF1PO | 12 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 37539 |
| CSF1PO | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 13,342 |
| CSF1PO | 9, 13 | 9 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |


| CSF1PO | 9, <br> 10 | 10 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 25502 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSF1PO | 10 | 10 | 11 | 1 | Hispanic | 11397 |
| CSF1PO | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 11397 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C |  |  |  |  |
| CSF1PO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSF1PO |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Phenotypes of } \\ \text { The Observed } \\ \text { Alleles }\end{array}$ |  | ABI | $\begin{array}{c}\text { RACE } \\ \text { OR ETHNICITY }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Tests in the } \\ \text { same System by } \\ \text { Race }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C |  |  |  |$)$


| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | 13 | 12 |  | 1 | Black | 38683 |
| D13S317 | 13 | 12 |  | 1 | Black | 38683 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 11 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 13 |  | 1 | Black | 38683 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 1 | Black | 32792 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9, \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38305 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 10, \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 26053 |
| D13S317 | 13 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 651 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9, \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,232 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38305 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 26053 |
| D13S317 | 10 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 5,756 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 26053 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 26053 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12, \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 26053 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38305 |
| D13S317 |  | 12 | 15 | 1 | Hispanic | 3900 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,114 |
| D13S317 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 1 | Hispanic | 11677 |
| D13S317 | 9 | 12 |  | 1 | Hispanic | 15060 |
| D13S317 | 14 | 13 |  | 1 | Hispanic | 15060 |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D13S317 |  | 11 | 12 | 2 | Black | 1,280 |
| D13S317 |  | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | ? |
| D13S317 |  | 10 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 1,277 |
| D13S317 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | ? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> sam System by <br> Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C |  |  | Black | 9,258 |
| D16S539 |  | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 425 |
| D16S539 |  | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 12,670 |
| D16S539 |  | 12 | 13 | 1 | Black | $?$ |
| D16S539 | 11 | 12 |  | 1 | Black | 38683 |
| D16S539 | 11 | 13 | 9, | 1 | Black | 32806 |
| D16S539 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 32806 |
| D16S539 | 12, | 12 | 11 | 1 | Black | 2,481 |
| D16S539 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,308 |
| D16S539 |  | 11 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 12,637 |
| D16S539 |  | 12 | 11 | 2 | Caucasian | 12,637 |
| D16S539 |  | 13 | 12 | 1 | Caucasian | 26075 |
| D16S539 | 9, | 9 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 38373 |
| D16S539 | 11 | 9 | 9, | 1 | Caucasian | 7,583 |
| D16S539 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 38373 |
| D16S539 | 12 | 11 | 9, | 1 |  |  |


| D16S539 | 12 | 11 | 9, <br> 11 | 1 | Caucasian | 38373 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D16S539 | 13 | 12 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 38373 |
| D16S539 | 13 | 12 | 11, | 1 | Caucasian | 38373 |
| D16S539 | 9, | 9 | 10 | 1 | Hispanic | 11642 |
| D16S539 | 11, | 11 | 12 | 1 | Hispanic | 11642 |
| D16S539 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,380 |
| D16S539 | 13 | 12 |  | 1 | Hispanic | 790 |
| D16S539 | 13 | 12 | 12, | 1 | Yemeni | 190 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D16S539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D16S539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D18S51 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 17 | 1 | Black | 31668 |
| D18S51 | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 17 | 1 | Black | 31668 |
| D18S51 | 17 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37116 |
| D18S51 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 1 | Black | 31668 |
| D18S51 | $\begin{aligned} & 15, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 17 | 1 | Black | 31668 |
| D18S51 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 1 | Black | 37116 |
| D18S51 |  | 17 | 18 | 1 | Black | 8,754 |
| D18S51 | 16 | 17 |  | 1 | Black | 11,484 |
| D18S51 | 17 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 17, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 1,062 |
| D18S51 | 14 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 37116 |
| D18S51 | 15 | 19 |  | 1 | Black | 11,484 |
| D18S51 | 17 19 | 19 | 16 | 1 | Black | 31668 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { D18S51 } & 21 & 21 & 15 & 1 & \text { Black } & 31668 \\ \hline \text { D18S51 } & \begin{array}{l}15, \\ 16\end{array} & 15 & 11 & 1 & \text { Black } & 17,923 \\ \hline \text { D18S51 } & \begin{array}{l}17, \\ 21\end{array} & 21 & 17 & 1 & \text { Black } & 17,923 \\ \hline \text { D18S51 } & 13 & 11 & 11, \\ 16\end{array}\right)$

| D18S51 | 15, <br> 17 | 17 | 12 | 1 | Hispanic | 4,098 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D18S51 | 16, | 16 | 13 | 1 | Hispanic | 3,775 |
| D18S51 | 15, | 16 | 13 | 1 | Other | 970 |
| D18S51 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 1 | Yemeni | 190 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D18S51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | ABI | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C |  |  | Asian | 1,685 |
| D19S433 | 12 | 13 |  | 1 | Asian | 1,577 |
| D19S433 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 1 | Black | 1,062 |
| D19S433 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 2 | Black | 11,518 |
| D19S433 | 14 | 13 |  | 1 | Black | 1,379 |
| D19S433 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 1 | Black | 1,062 |
| D19S433 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 2 | Black | 6,979 |
| D19S433 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 1 | Black | 6,979 |
| D19S433 |  | 14 | 12 | 1 | Black | $?$ |
| D19S433 | 15 | 14 |  | 1 | Black | 11,412 |
| D19S433 |  | 15 | 15.2 | 1 | Black | $?$ |
| D19S433 |  | 15.2 | 14 | 1 | Black | 6,979 |
| D19S433 |  | 17.2 | 14 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,489 |
| D19S433 | 14 | 13 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 12,294 |
| D19S433 | 14.2 | 15 | 13 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,382 |
| D19S433 |  | 15 | 14 | 1 | Hispanic | 3,644 |
| D19S433 | 13, | 13 | 14 | 1 |  |  |


| D19S433 | 14, | 14 | 15.2 | 1 | Hispanic | 3,644 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D19S433 |  | 14 | 15.2 | 1 | Hispanic | 3,644 |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | RACEOR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D21S11 |  | 31.2 | 32.2 | 1 | Asian | 2,095 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31.2 \\ & 32.2 \end{aligned}$ | 31.2 | 30 | 1 | Asian | 2,095 |
| D21S11 |  | 28 | 30 | 1 | Black | 11,760 |
| D21S11 |  | 30 | 28 | 1 | Black | 1379 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27, \\ 33.2 \end{gathered}$ | 27 | 31.2 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | 27 | 29 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29, \\ 33.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 27 | 29 |  | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 27 | 29 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | 27 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 29 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 29 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 33.2 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | 28 | 30 |  | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 1 | Black | 2,474 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 29 |  | 1 | Black | 11,954 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} 30, \\ 31.2 \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 33.2 | 1 | Black | 33120 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 32.2 |  | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 32 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 29, \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 32.2 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 26, \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 33.2 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 29, \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 33.2 | 32.2 | $\begin{gathered} 32.2 \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Black | 39101 |
| D21S11 | 32,2 | 30 | 30 | 1 | Black | 39101 |


| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} 30, \\ 30.2 \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 28 | 1 | Black | 18,255 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 32, \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 27 | 1 | Black | 18,255 |
| D21S11 |  | 28 | 29 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,326 |
| D21S11 |  | 29 | 30 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,729 |
| D21S11 |  | 30 | 32.2 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,326 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} 24.2 \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | 30.2 | 1 | Caucasian | 13,671 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 31 | 1 | Caucasian | 26378 |
| D21S11 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 1 | Caucasian | 26378 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 29, \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 30 | 1 | Caucasian | 26378 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29, \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 31 | 1 | Caucasian | 26378 |
| D21S11 | 29 | 32.2 | $\begin{gathered} 30, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 1 | Caucasian | 26378 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 32.2 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | 30 | 32.2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | 32.2 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 7,591 |
| D21S11 | 32.2 | 33.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.2 \\ & 33.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | 32.2 | 33.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.2 \\ & 33.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Caucasian | 38781 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28, \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 30 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,326 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 32.2 | 30 | 1 | Caucasian | 3,764 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30, \\ 32.2 \end{gathered}$ | 32.2 | 28 | 1 | Caucasian | 4,274 |
| D21S11 | $\begin{aligned} & 31, \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 30 | 1 | Caucasian | 16,326 |
| D21S11 | 28 | 29 |  | 1 | Hispanic | ? |


| PCR <br> LOCUS | Phenotypes of <br> The Observed <br> Alleles |  | PROMEGA | RACE <br> OR ETHNICITY | Total Tests in the <br> same System by <br> Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D21S11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D21S11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PCR } \\ \text { LOCUS } \end{gathered}$ | Phenotypes of The Observed Alleles |  |  | ABI | $\begin{gathered} \text { RACE } \\ \text { OR ETHNICITY } \end{gathered}$ | Total Tests in the same System by Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | C | F |  |  |  |
| D2S1338 |  | 16 | 17 | 1 | Black | 11,551 |
| D2S1338 |  | 20 | 21 | 1 | Black | 8,452 |
| D2S1338 |  | 23 | 22 | 1 | Black | 11,551 |
| D2S1338 |  | 17 | 18 | 1 | Caucasian | 11,521 |
| D2S1338 | 22 | 20 |  | 1 | Caucasian | 11,670 |
| D2S1338 | $\begin{aligned} & 19, \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 17 | 1 | Caucasian | 14,887 |
| D2S1338 | 25 | 23 |  | 1 | Caucasian | ? |
| D2S1338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

