UNDERSTANDING INFORMED CONSENT IN TRANSFUSION MEDICINE

By MaryAnn Sromoski, MSN, FNP-C
Geisinger
Danville, PA

Informed consent is a fundamental principle in health care, especially in transfusion medicine. It ensures that patients and donors are adequately informed about the procedures they undergo, thereby promoting autonomy and safeguarding their rights.

THE FOUNDATION OF INFORMED CONSENT

Informed consent is more than just a signed form; it is a comprehensive process of communication that involves ongoing dialogue between health care providers and patients or donors. This process aims to provide clear, understandable information, allowing individuals to make knowledgeable decisions regarding their treatment and participation in medical procedures.

ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF THE DISCUSSION

For informed consent to be valid, health care providers must relay and discuss five critical categories of information:

1. Nature and Purpose: The reason and goal of the proposed treatment or procedure.
2. Risks and Benefits: The possible risks and benefits associated with the treatment, including the probability and severity of potential adverse effects. This must be detailed in a way that is easily understood for patients to weigh their options effectively.
3. Alternatives: Other options available to the patient.
4. Right to Refuse: Confirmation of the patient's and donor's right to decline treatment or participation without facing coercion or pressure. Such choices should be respected and documented as appropriate throughout the care continuum.
5. Potential Outcomes of Refusal: The consequences of not undergoing the treatment.

SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR DONORS AND RECIPIENTS

Informed consent also extends to blood donors. Individuals intending to donate blood must receive comprehensive information regarding the process, potential health risks and any relevant

educational materials before they consent. This comprehensive process ensures the donor is secure and informed about their decision.

For transfusion recipients, health care providers must fulfill their obligations by informing patients about the risks of transfusion and any available alternatives. This aspect of consent emphasizes the importance of patient involvement in their decisions.

LEGAL AND ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS

The legal framework surrounding informed consent varies based on jurisdiction, reinforcing the necessity for health care professionals to stay informed about local laws and requirements. Ethical principles highlighted in the informed consent process center around respect for patient autonomy, beneficence and non-maleficence.

THE IDEAL

Informed consent is a critical element of transfusion medicine that fosters trust, respect and accountability in the patient-provider relationship. By understanding the essential components and legal implications, health care providers can enhance their practices, ensuring patients and donors are empowered to make informed choices about their health. The commitment to informed consent will continue to play a vital role in ethical medical practice, safeguarding patient rights and promoting positive health outcomes.

THE REALITY AND CONTROVERSY: WHEN IDEAL CONSENT FAILS

Despite the rigorous principles outlined above, the process of obtaining consent for blood transfusion is often recognized as an area of significant controversy and practical failure. While the intention is patient autonomy, the execution often falls short of a truly patient-centered dialogue.

FACTORS UNDERMINING TRUE INFORMED CONSENT:

- The "Emergency Factor" and Coercion: In transfusion medicine, the need for blood often arises in urgent or emergent clinical settings. The pressure to act quickly can severely compromise the time and quality dedicated to the informed consent conversation, moving the process from an informed dialogue toward a hurried, signed approval. The patient, often distressed or critically ill, is rarely in a position to weigh risks effectively.
- Paternalism and the "Standard of Care" Trap: A lingering paternalistic approach in health care can lead providers to minimize or downplay the risks of transfusion, viewing it simply as a necessary, life-saving "standard of care." This perspective leads to an unbalanced presentation of information, where benefits are heavily emphasized and risks (such as transfusion reactions, circulatory overload, or rare infections) are downplayed, failing the ethical duty of full disclosure.

- Provider Knowledge Gaps (Non-Physician Consent): The task of obtaining consent can be delegated to health care professionals other than the prescribing physician. While this delegation is common, it introduces significant risks:
    - Lack of Education: The delegated staff member may not possess the detailed, up-to-date knowledge of all transfusion risks, alternatives and current hospital policies to adequately answer specific patient questions.
    - Variability in Practice: The quality of the consent discussion becomes highly variable depending on the individual provider's training and comfort level with the topic, resulting in inconsistent patient education.
- Poor Documentation and the "Checkbox" Mentality: True informed consent is an ongoing process, but its documentation often devolves into a transactional, checkbox exercise. A signed form, without robust documentation of the discussion including having the risks and alternatives covered, and patient questions answered, serves as poor evidence that the patient was genuinely informed, failing to promote their autonomy.

ENSURING MEANINGFUL CONVERSATIONS

The persistent challenge in transfusion consent is transforming the mandated administrative task into a meaningful, ethical conversation. For the process to become genuinely patient-centered, there must be a greater commitment to provider education across all staff obtaining consent, and a systematic recognition that even in urgent scenarios, the patient's right to information should be respected to the maximum extent possible.

Nazaryan, V., Choi, A., Harach, M., Hogan, S., Lieb, M., Lucia, D., Noone, S., Smith, K., Sromoski, Thut, D., Varisco, J., Vasovic, L. (2024) *AABB Guide to Informed Consent in Transfusion Medicine.* Bethesda, Md. AABB Press.